arXiv:1608.04218v2 [math.SP] 18 Jan 2017

Numerical range with respect to a family of projections

Waed Dada [1] and Joachim Kerner [2]

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
FernUniversität in Hagen
58084 Hagen
Germany

and

N. Erkurşun [3]

Department of Mathematics
Hacettepe University
Ankara
Turkey

Abstract

In this note we introduce the concept of the numerical range of a bounded linear operator with respect to a family of projections. We give a precise definition and elaborate on its connection to the classical numerical range as well as to generalisations such as the quadratic numerical range and block numerical range.

Keywords : numerical range, orthogonal projection, spectrum, compact self-adjoint operator.

[1]E-mail address: waed.dada@fernuni-hagen.de
[2]E-mail address: joachim.kerner@fernuni-hagen.de
[3]E-mail address: naer@fa.uni-tuebingen.de

1 Introduction

The numerical range $W(A)$ of a bounded linear operator A on a complex Hilbert space H is

$$W(A) := \{\langle Ax, x\rangle : \ x \in H \ , \ \|x\| = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C} \ . \tag{1.1}$$

Originally introduced for linear operators on $\mathbb{C}^n$ by Toeplitz [13] and Hausdorff [7], it was later extended to operators on Hilbert spaces by Stone [11]. Unlike the spectrum, the numerical range is a unitary invariant but in general not invariant under similarity transformations and hence provides additional information about the operator. Furthermore, since the numerical range is relatively easy to compute (at least in the matrix case), it became a useful tool in many applications [4, 12, 15].

The following list contains the basic properties of the numerical range for operators $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$:

1. $W(A) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq \|A\|\}$.
2. $W(U^*AU) = W(A)$ for any unitary $U \in \mathcal{L}(H)$.
3. For a two-dimensional Hilbert space H, $W(A) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a (possibly degenerate) ellipse.
4. If H is finite-dimensional, then $W(A) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is compact.
5. $\sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{W(A)}$ and $\sigma_p(A) \subseteq W(A)$. (Spectral Inclusion)
6. $W(A) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is convex. (Toeplitz-Hausdorff Theorem)

Furthermore, as shown in [2], if $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is a compact operator, then $W(A)$ is closed if $0 \in W(A)$ and if, in addition, A is self-adjoint, then $W(A)$ is the convex hull of the point spectrum of A.

2 Preliminaries and definitions

We define the numerical range of a linear bounded operator A with respect to families of orthogonal projections and consider, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P} := \{P \in \mathcal{L}(H) : P \text{ orthogonal projection in } H\} \ , \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_k := \{P \in \mathbb{P} : \dim(\mathrm{ran}(P)) = k\} \ , \tag{2.2}$$

as well as,

$$\mathcal{P}_A := \{P \in \mathbb{P} : PA = AP \ , \ \dim(\mathrm{ran}(P)) < \infty\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proposition 2.1. *$\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{P}_k \subset \mathcal{L}(H)$ are closed sets with respect to the operator norm.*

Definition 2.2. For $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $P \in \mathbb{P}$ we define an operator A_P on $\mathrm{ran}(P)$ by

$$A_P : \mathrm{ran}(P) \to \mathrm{ran}(P) \ , \ \ x \mapsto A_P x := PAx \ .$$

The relation between A_P and A can be expressed by

$$A_P P = PAP.$$

The operator A_P is called the compression of A to $\mathrm{ran}(P)$ and A is called a dilation of A_P to H.

Remark 2.3. *We have $W(A_P) \subset W(A)$: For any $\lambda \in W(A_P)$ there exists $x \in \mathrm{ran}(P)$ with $\|x\| = 1$, $Px = x$ and*

$$\lambda = \langle A_P x, x\rangle = \langle PAPx, x\rangle = \langle APx, Px\rangle = \langle Ay, y\rangle,$$

where $y := Px$. Since $\|y\| = \|Px\| = \|x\| = 1$ we conclude $\lambda \in W(A)$.

Due to the spectral inclusion (see 5. in the list above) Remark 2.3 implies, in particular, that each $\lambda \in \sigma(A_P)$ is contained in $W(A)$ given that $\dim(\mathrm{ran}(P)) < \infty$. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 2.4 (Numerical range with respect to a family of projections)**.** Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be a bounded operator and $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$. Then

$$W_{\mathcal{P}}(A) := \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(A_P) \tag{2.4}$$

is called the numerical range of A with respect to the family of orthogonal projections $\mathcal{P}$ or $\mathcal{P}$-numerical range of A for short.

Remark 2.5.

1. *$W_{\mathcal{P}}(A)$ is in general not closed .*
2. *$W_{\mathcal{P}}(A) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq ||A||\}$.*
3. *$W_{\mathcal{P}}(A^*) = \big(W_{\mathcal{P}}(A)\big)^*$.*

3 Main Results

3.1 Connection to the classical numerical range and the point spectrum

The first result establishes the connection with the classical numerical range. For the proof note that, for each $P \in \mathcal{P}_k$ and for any orthonormal basis $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of $\mathrm{ran}(P)$, one has

$$Px = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle x, f_i\rangle f_i \quad \forall x \in H. \tag{3.1}$$

Proposition 3.1. *For $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ we have $W_{\mathcal{P}_1}(A) = W(A)$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in W_{\mathcal{P}_1}(A)$. Then there exist $P \in \mathcal{P}_1$ and $f \in \mathrm{ran}(P)$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $PAPf = \lambda f$. Therefore

$$\langle Af, f\rangle = \langle APf, Pf\rangle = \langle PAPf, f\rangle = \langle \lambda f, f\rangle = \lambda \tag{3.2}$$

and hence $\lambda \in W(A)$.
If $\lambda \in W(A)$ then there exists $f \in H$ with $||f|| = 1$ such that $\lambda = \langle Af, f\rangle$. Let P denote the orthogonal projection onto span{f}. Then, according to (3.1),

$$PAPf = PAf = \langle Af, f\rangle f = \lambda f \tag{3.3}$$

and hence $\lambda \in \sigma(A_P)$. Thus $\lambda \in W_{\mathcal{P}_1}(A)$. □

Remark 3.2. *Lemma 3.1 is interesting from the following point of view: In general the spectrum forms only a "small" subset of $W(A)$ (for example, think of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^2$ for which the spectrum consists of two points whereas $W(A)$ is a (possibly degenerate) ellipse). However, by considering the union of all $\sigma(A_P)$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}_1$ instead, the whole classical numerical range is obtained by "filling it up" with spectral values.*

The following statement is a direct generalisation of Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 3.3. *For $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and the family $\mathcal{P}_k$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following holds:*

1. *If dim $H = k$, then $W_{\mathcal{P}_k}(A) = \sigma(A)$.*
2. *If dim $H > k$, then $W_{\mathcal{P}_k}(A) = W(A)$.*

Proof. 1. is a direct consequence of $\mathcal{P}_k = \{\mathfrak{I}d\}$ given dim $H = k$.
Regarding 2., let $\lambda \in W(A)$ be given. Then there exists $f_0 \in H$ with $||f_0|| = 1$ such that $\lambda = \langle Af_0, f_0\rangle$. Now take $f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_{k-1} \in H$ with $||f_i|| = 1$ such that $f_i \perp f_j$, $i \neq j$, for $i, j = 0, 1, \cdots, k-1$ and $f_i \perp Af_0$ and $i = 1, \cdots, k-1$. Let P be the orthogonal projection onto span$\{f_0, f_1, \cdots, f_{k-1}\}$ which is a k-dimensional subspace. Then, employing (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} PAPf_0 = PAf_0 &= \langle Af_0, f_0\rangle f_0 + \langle Af_0, f_1\rangle f_1 + \cdots + \langle Af_0, f_{k-1}\rangle f_{k-1} \\ &= \langle Af_0, f_0\rangle f_0 \\ &= \lambda f_0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

i.e., λ is an eigenvalue of PAP. Hence $\lambda \in W_{\mathcal{P}_k}(A)$.
Now take $\lambda \in W_{\mathcal{P}_k}(A)$. Then there exist $P \in \mathcal{P}_k$ and $f \in \text{ran}(P)$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that $PAPf = \lambda f$. Hence $\langle Af, f\rangle = \langle PAPf, f\rangle = \langle \lambda f, f\rangle = \lambda$, implying $\lambda \in W(A)$. □

In the next result we show how the family of projections $\mathcal{P}_A$ is related to the point spectrum of the operator A.

Theorem 3.4. *For arbitrary $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ one has $W_{\mathcal{P}_A}(A) \subset \sigma_P(A)$. Furthermore, if A is symmetric then $W_{\mathcal{P}_A}(A) = \sigma_P(A)$.*

Proof. For arbitrary A, let $\lambda \in W_{\mathcal{P}_A}(A)$ be given. Then there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}_A$ and $0 \neq f \in \text{ran}(P)$ such that $PAPf = \lambda f$ and $PAPf = APPf = APf$, since $f \in \text{ran}(P)$. We obtain $APf = Af = \lambda f$ and hence $\lambda \in \sigma_P(A)$.

Now let A be symmetric: Then, for $\lambda \in \sigma_P(A)$ there exists a normalised $f \in H$ such that $Af = \lambda f$. Now, choose P to be the orthogonal projection onto span$\{f\}$. Applying P to the eigenvalue equation directly yields $PAPf = \lambda Pf = \lambda f$ which shows that λ is an eigenvalue to PAP. On the other hand, since A is symmetric one has, $x \in H$,

$$PAx = \langle Ax, f\rangle f = \langle x, f\rangle \lambda f = APx \ . \tag{3.5}$$

This implies that $P \in \mathcal{P}_A$ and consequently $\lambda \in W_{\mathcal{P}_A}(A)$.

□

3.2 Connection to the quadratic and block numerical range

As defined in [8] (and discussed in detail in [9]), the quadratic numerical range of a 2×2-block operator matrix

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} , \tag{3.6}$$

with $\mathcal{A}$ acting as an operator on $H_1 \oplus H_2$, is the set of all eigenvalues of all 2×2-matrices

$$\mathcal{A}_{f,g} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle Af, f\rangle & \langle Bg, f\rangle \\ \langle Cf, g\rangle & \langle Dg, g\rangle \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.7}$$

with $f \in H_1$, $g \in H_2$ and $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$. The quadratic numerical range of $\mathcal{A}$ will be denoted by $W_{H_1,H_2}(\mathcal{A})$.

In order to relate the quadratic numerical range to a family of projections, one considers the set of all projections $P \in \mathcal{P}_2$ such that $\mathrm{ran}(P)$ has dimension two and is spanned by two vectors in $H_1 \oplus H_2$ of the form $F_1 := f_1 \oplus 0$, $F_2 := 0 \oplus f_2$ with (non-zero) $f_1 \in H_1$ and $f_2 \in H_2$. We will denote this family of projections by $\mathcal{P}_{H_1,H_2}$.

For any such $P \in \mathcal{P}_{H_1,H_2}$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}_P F_1 := P\mathcal{A}F_1 = \langle \mathcal{A}F_1, F_1\rangle F_1 + \langle \mathcal{A}F_1, F_2\rangle F_2 , \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_P F_2 := P\mathcal{A}F_2 = \langle \mathcal{A}F_2, F_1\rangle F_1 + \langle \mathcal{A}F_1, F_2\rangle F_2 . \tag{3.9}$$

Accordingly, $\mathcal{A}_P$ can be represented by a 2×2 matrix with respect to this basis as

$$\mathcal{A}_P := \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathcal{A}F_1, F_1\rangle & \langle \mathcal{A}F_1, F_2\rangle \\ \langle \mathcal{A}F_2, F_1\rangle & \langle \mathcal{A}F_2, F_2\rangle \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{C}). \tag{3.10}$$

Furthermore, a direct calculation shows that

$$\mathcal{A}_P = \begin{pmatrix} \langle Af_1, f_1\rangle & \langle Cf_1, f_2\rangle \\ \langle Bf_2, f_1\rangle & \langle Df_2, f_2\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{A}^T_{f_1,f_2}. \tag{3.11}$$

This allows us to establish the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ a block operator of the form* (3.6)*. Then*

$$W_{\mathcal{P}_{H_1,H_2}}(\mathcal{A}) = W_{H_1,H_2}(\mathcal{A}) . \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. For $\lambda \in W_{H_1,H_2}(\mathcal{A})$ and by definition of the quadratic numerical range there exist (normalised) $f_1 \in H_1$, $f_2 \in H_2$ and a (non-zero) $h \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{f_1,f_2}h = \lambda h$. Let P denote the orthogonal projection onto $\mathrm{span}\{f_1 \oplus 0, 0 \oplus f_2\}$. Then $P \in \mathcal{P}_{H_1,H_2}$ and, according to (3.11), $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_P) = \sigma(\mathcal{A}^T_{f_1,f_2})$ which implies $\lambda \in W_{\mathcal{P}_{H_1,H_2}}(\mathcal{A})$.

Now, let $\lambda \in W_{\mathcal{P}_{H_1,H_2}}(\mathcal{A})$ be given. Then there exists a projection $P \in \mathcal{P}_{H_1,H_2}$ and a (non-zero) element $h \in \mathrm{ran}(P)$ such that $\mathcal{A}_P h = \lambda h$. Employing relation (3.11) again yields $\lambda \in W_{H_1,H_2}(\mathcal{A})$. Note that the existence of corresponding (normalised) vectors $f_1 \in H_1$, $f_2 \in H_2$ follows from the definition of the family $\mathcal{P}_{H_1,H_2}$. □

Theorem 3.5 can be directly generalised to k-block operators $\mathcal{A}$ acting on a Hilbert space of the form $H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} H_i$ by defining the family $\mathcal{P}_{H_1,\ldots,H_k}$ of projections in analogy to the case of $k = 2$. Furthermore, in analogy to the quadratic numerical range one introduces the *block numerical range* $W_{H_1,\ldots,H_k}(\mathcal{A})$ (see also [15, 14]) and can obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} H_i$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ a block operator on H, i.e., $(\mathcal{A})_{1\leq i,j\leq k} = A_{ij}$ with $A_{ij} : H_j \to H_i$ bounded linear operators. Then*

$$W_{\mathcal{P}_{H_1,\ldots,H_k}}(\mathcal{A}) = W_{H_1,\ldots,H_k}(\mathcal{A}) \ . \tag{3.13}$$

Remark 3.7. *Regarding Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 we observe the following: If A is a $n \times n$-matrix acting on $\mathbb{C}^n$, we can divide it into blocks as to obtain a k-block operator acting on $\mathbb{C}^{n_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_k}$ with $n_1 + \ldots + n_k = n$. Also, dividing each $\mathbb{C}^{n_j}$ further and hence obtaining a refined partition of $\mathbb{C}^n$ yields a p-block operator acting on $\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{n}_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{n}_p}$ with $\tilde{n}_1 + \ldots + \tilde{n}_p = n$, $p > k$. Denoting all three operators by A, the definition of the families $\mathcal{P}_{H_1,\ldots,H_k}$ from above allows us to obtain the inclusion*

$$W_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{n}_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{n}_p}}}(A) \subseteq W_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{n_k}}}(A) \subseteq W_{\mathcal{P}_1}(A) = W(A) \ , \tag{3.14}$$

where the last equality is due to Proposition 3.1. Regarding the block numerical ranges we therefore obtain the inclusion

$$W_{\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{n}_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{n}_p}}(A) \subseteq W_{\mathbb{C}^{n_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{n_k}}(A) \subseteq W(A) \ . \tag{3.15}$$

It is interesting to note that equation (3.15) *was already obtained in [15] by different methods.*

References

[1] Bakić D., *Compact operators, the essential spectrum and the essential numerical range*, Mathematical Communications 3, no. 1 (1998), 103108.

[2] De Barra G., Giles J. R. and Sims B., *On the numerical range of compact operators on Hilbert spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 5 (1972), 704706.

[3] Fillmore P. A., Stampfli J. G. and Williams J. P., *On the Essential Numerical Range, the Essential Spectrum, and a Problem of Halmos*, Acta Universitatis Szegediensis. Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum, 33 (1972).

[4] Gustafson K. E. and Rao D. K. M., *Numerical Range*, Springer, (1997).

[5] Halmos P. R., *Introduction to Hilbert Space*, Chelsea, (1951).

[6] Halmos P. R., *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, Springer, (1982).

[7] Hausdorff F., *Der Wertvorrat einer Bilinearform*, Math. Z. 3 (1919), 314-316.

[8] Langer H. and Tretter C. *Spectral decomposition of some nonselfadjoint block operator matrices*, J. Operator Theory 39, no. 2 (1998), 339-360.

[9] Langer H., Markus A., Matsaev V. and Tretter C., *A new concept for block operator matrices: the quadratic numerical range*, Linear Algebra Appl. 330 (2001), 89-112.

[10] Rudin W., *Functional Analysis*, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, (1991).

[11] Stone M . H., *Linear transformations in Hilbert space and their applications to Analysis*, Monatsh. Math. Phys. 40, no. 1 (1933), A25A26.

[12] Shapiro J.H., *Notes on the numerical range*, Lecture Notes, (2003), 1-15.

[13] Toeplitz O., *Das algebraische Analogon zu einem Satze von Fejér*, Math. Z. 2 (1-2) (1918), 187-197.

[14] Tretter C., *Spectral Theory of Block Operator Matrices and Applications*, Imperial College Press, London, (2008).

[15] Tretter C. and Wagenhofer M., *The block numerical range of an $n \times n$ block operator matrix*, SIAM. J. Matrix Anal. & Appl., 24(4) (2003), 10031017.